

News

Nishikant Dubey's civil war remarks on CJI will not be considered for contempt by the Supreme Court, but....



"We have a brief order to pass. We'll explain a few of the reasons. CJI Khanna said, "We will issue a brief order, but we will not entertain it.

A public interest litigation (PIL) petition seeking the initiation of a contempt of court action against Nishikant Dubey, a member of parliament (MP) for the Bharatiya Janata Party, for his comments criticizing the Supreme Court and Chief Justice of India (CJI) **Sanjiv Khanna** was denied by the court on Monday.

However, the **CJI Sanjiv Khanna and Justice PV Sanjay Kumar** bench also stated that it

would issue a well-reasoned ruling in the case.

"The institution's honor must be preserved. This cannot be the case. The Delhi Judicial Services matter was previously taken up by the court,"

petitioner Vishal Tiwari stated.

"We have a brief order to pass. We'll explain a few of the reasons. CJI Khanna said, "We will issue a brief order, but we will not entertain it.

Dubey claimed that CJI Khanna was responsible for "all civil wars in the country" in an interview with the news organization Asian News International (ANI). Following the CJI-led bench's motion to halt the newly passed Waqf (Amendment) Act, the comments were made.

According to Tiwari's plea, the interview was filled with disparaging remarks about the Supreme Court and the judiciary.

"Such acts amounts to an Offence punishable under Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and also under Section 15 of the Contempt of Courts Acts, 1971," it was argued.

It was said that when it comes to hate speech and provocative remarks, political parties and leaders are not sparing the judiciary and judges.

As a result, he requested that Dubey be charged with suo motu contempt of court.

On the same matter, Dubey is facing another contempt of court plea before the highest court.