

News

MBBS admission was canceled since the document was not provided at the assistance desk



The student begs the Gujarat High Court to preserve her career.

The Court acknowledged that the student ought to have been more cautious during the November 14 hearing, but it also agreed to take the issue into further consideration.

After her seat at the Narendra Modi Medical College was declared empty due to her failure to present a Provisional Admission Order at the help desk as required by the applicable

regulations, a medical student who had been accepted for MBBS admission has petitioned the Gujarat High Court.

A Provisional Allotment Order for the designated college is given to a successful candidate following medical college counseling.

The student receives a Provisional Admission Order after paying the expenses. The college's aid center must receive the same. The admission is recorded in the system and the seat is displayed as occupied following the submission of this document.

However, the petitioner, an MBBS student, began attending courses without turning in the paperwork at the support center, which resulted in her admission being cancelled. In an attempt to rescue her career, she has referred to it as a genuine error and asked the Court for a compassionate opinion.

Justice Nirzar S. Desai noted that the student ought to have demonstrated presence of mind during the court's Friday, November 14, hearing. Additionally, it stated that students had previously been informed about the admissions process.

"The boundary between misguided pity and sympathy is quite narrow. A child and an adult are not the same thing. According to the Court, a student who wants to enroll in a medical program must be old enough to understand the process.

A medical student cannot claim that she made a mistake, the court continued.

Let's say she becomes a doctor and gives Y medication instead of X, endangering someone's life. I feel sorry for her, but you can't claim that a clerk did it. There was no justification for the clerk to withhold your documents when all other students had followed the identical process, the court said.

The Court had ordered on November 13, the day before, that the petitioner's seat be remained empty if no other candidate had been assigned to it.

The Court ordered the matter to be listed on September 17 and extended the interim order on Friday.

It has to do with someone's career. Due to the restricted number of places in medical

courses, it is regrettable that students must compete for admission. I am aware that it must be given to someone and not stay empty, but if someone is deserving... ... she must also be given a fair opportunity to review the affidavit [of respondents] for any error that cannot be linked to malpractice," the Bench stated.

In the meantime, the State's attorney noted that the petitioner herself had previously scheduled a time to turn in the document at the assistance center, but she had neglected to do so.

The attorney continued, "She herself has taken the date, time."

In response, the petitioner's attorney stated that this information supports the student's claim that she committed a legitimate error.

It won't be considered a genuine error. But the Court noted that it is negligence rather than a genuine error.

The Court further stated that since student instructions were available even in the common language, there was no possibility of misinterpretation.

The Court ultimately let the petitioner's attorney to review and prepare her case because the State's affidavit in response to the plea was submitted at the last minute.

After that, the case was postponed until November 17.

The respondents were represented by additional advocate general Manisha Lavkumar Shah, along with lawyers Kanva Antani, Harsheel Shukla, Anuj Trivedi, and Vikas Nair.