

News

A framed man seeking ₹5 crore in compensation from the Karnataka High Court for his wife's murder'



Suresh, the petitioner, was wrongfully accused of killing his wife, who was later discovered to be alive.

A man has petitioned the Karnataka High Court for ₹5 crore in compensation for being unjustly accused of killing his wife, who was later discovered to be alive.

A chargesheet was filed against the petitioner, Suresh, under Sections 498A (cruelty), 302 (murder), and 201 (fabricating evidence) of the Indian Penal Code (IPC) after he was wrongfully accused of killing his wife.

According to the initial information report, the man suspected his wife of adultery, mistreated her physically and psychologically, and killed her on October 19, 2020. He concealed both

the corpse and the weapon used in the murder.

But on April 2, 2025, during the sessions court hearing, the man told the judge that his wife was still alive and asked for permission to have her appear in court.

The court verified that the woman was alive upon production and following an investigation that included recording the wife's, her mother's, and other witnesses' testimony.

After that, the sessions court conducted an investigation into the function of police officers and ordered the Mysuru Superintendent of Police to provide a report.

The sessions court held the police accountable for the false case and, based on the facts, acquitted the guy and ordered that he get ₹1 lakh in compensation.

The individual is now contesting the insufficient compensation in a High Court motion.

Despite the fact that the chargesheet against him was unfounded and untrue, he pleaded that the trial court did not acknowledge him as the victim.

According to the petitioner, on April 23, 2025, the sessions court erred in issuing an order of acquittal without holding a trial in line with the allegations brought against the appellant, and this ruling was unsustainable under the law.

He also mentioned that he lost his status in society and was imprisoned for one and a half years without cause.

Given the foregoing, the individual has sought for ₹5 crore in compensation as well as action against negligent police officers.

Additionally, he has urged that the term "victim" be used in place of the phrase "accused" in the session court's April 23 ruling.